

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,167	0	14/24/2000	CLAUS GODER	GK-GEY-1065	2203
26418	7590	02/05/2002			
REED SMI			, EXAMINER		
375 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10152			FARAH, AHMED M		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3739	
				DATE MAILED: 02/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/530,167

Applicant(s)

Goder et al.

Examiner

A. Farah

Art Unit 3739

The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state communication.  - Silve to stalk within the set or extended period for reply	f 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed				
Status					
20/ == 11115 200011 10 1 1111 1=1	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	is/are allowed.				
6)  Claim(s)					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the Internationa *See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	al Bureau (PC1 Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do					
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19] Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20}  Other:				

Art Unit: 3739

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 22-34, drawn to device for shaping an object by removal of material from the surface of the object using a Gaussian laser beam, classified in class 219, subclass 121.73.
  - II. Claims 35-38, drawn to a method for removal of material from the surface of object, i.e., body tissue, classified in class 606, subclass 9.
  - III. Claims 39-42, drawn to process for determining/measuring the geometrical changes at the surface of an object, classified in class 356, subclass 601.
- 2. Inventions in Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as removing material from skin surface. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions in Group I and group III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Application/Control Number: 09/530,167

Art Unit: 3739

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because in order to remove material from surface of an object, curvature measurement of an individual surface portion is not necessary. The subcombination has separate utility such as measuring the curvature of individual surface portion.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Gerald H. Kiel (Reg. No. 25,116) on 12/13/2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Application/Control Number: 09/530,167 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Farah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5787. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Linda Dvorak, can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax number for the Examiner is (703)746-3368.

LINDA C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3700